

FORM PTO-1390
(REV 10-98)

U.S. DEPARTMENT OF COMMERCE PATENT AND TRADEMARK OFFICE

ATTORNEY'S DOCKET NUMBER

DFN-025US

**TRANSMITTAL LETTER TO THE UNITED STATES
DESIGNATED/ELECTED OFFICE (DO/EO/US)
CONCERNING A FILING UNDER 35 U.S.C.371**

U.S. APPLICATION NO. (If known, see 37 CFR 1.5)

09/486970

INTERNATIONAL APPLICATION

PCT/US98/18432

INTERNATIONAL FILING DATE

04 September 1998 (04.09.98)

PRIORITY DATE CLAIMED

05 September 1997 (05.09.97)

TITLE OF INVENTION

THE USE OF AGENTS WHICH BIND G PROTEINS FOR TREATING SEPTIC SHOCK

APPLICANT(S) FOR DO/EO/US

Robert W. FINBERG; Evelyn A. KURT-JONES; and Keith R. SOLOMON

Applicant herewith submits to the United States Designated/Elected Office (DO/EO/US) the following items and other information:

1. ☒ This is a **FIRST** submission of items concerning a filing under 35 U.S.C.371.
2. ☐ This is a **SECOND** or **SUBSEQUENT** submission of items concerning a filing under 35 U.S.C. 371.
3. ☐ This express request to begin national examination procedures (35 U.S.C. 371 (f)) at any time rather than delay examination until the expiration of the applicable time limit set in 35 U.S.C. 371 (b) and PCT Articles 22 and 39(1).
4. ☒ A proper Demand for International Preliminary Examination was made by the 19th month from the earliest claimed priority date.
5. ☒ A copy of the International Application as filed (35 U.S.C. 371(c)(2))
 - a. ☒ is transmitted herewith (required only if not transmitted by the International Bureau)
(18 sheets and 9 sheets of drawings);
 - b. ☐ has been transmitted by the International Bureau.
 - c. ☐ is not required, as the application was filed in the United States Receiving Office (RO/US).
6. ☐ A translation of the International Application into English (35 U.S.C 371(c)(2)).
7. ☒ Amendments to the claims of the International Application under PCT Article 19 (35 U.S.C. 371(c)(3))
 - a. ☐ are transmitted herewith (required only if not transmitted by the International Bureau).
 - b. ☐ have been transmitted by the International Bureau.
 - c. ☐ have not been made; however, the time limit for making such amendments has NOT expired.
 - d. ☒ have not been made and will not be made.
8. ☐ A translation of the amendments to the claims under PCT Article 19 (35 U.S.C. 371(c)(3)).
9. ☒ An oath or declaration of the inventor(s) (35 U.S.C. 371(c)(4)) **(unexecuted) (4 sheets);**
10. ☐ A translation of the annexes to the International Preliminary Examination Report under PCT Article 36 (35 U.S.C. 371(c)(5)).

Items 11. to 16. below concern document(s) or information included:

11. ☐ An Information Disclosure Statement under 37 CFR 1.97 and 1.98.
12. ☐ An assignment document for recording. A separate cover sheet in compliance with 37 CFR 3.28 and 3.31 is included
13. ☐ A **FIRST** preliminary amendment.
☐ A **SECOND** or **SUBSEQUENT** preliminary amendment.
14. ☐ A substitute specification.
15. ☐ A change of power of attorney and/or address letter.
16. ☒ Other items or information: **Transmittal Letter (2 sheets in duplicate); PCT International Published Application (WO 99/11281) (with International Search Report attached) (33 sheets); Corrected Version of PCT International Published Application (WO 99/11281) (with International Search Report attached) (30 sheets); PCT Notice Informing the Applicant of the Communication of the International Application to the Designated Offices (Form PCT/IB/308) (1 sheet); Check (#035672)(\$670); Certificate of Express Mailing (1 sheet); and Return Postcard.**

U.S. APPLICATION NO. (if known, see 37 CFR 1.5)

09/486970

INTERNATIONAL APPLICATION NO.

PCT/US98/18432

ATTORNEY'S DOCKET NO.

DFN-025PC

17. ☒ The following fees are submitted:**BASIC NATIONAL FEE (37 CFR 1.492 (a) (1) - (5)) .(a/o January 1, 2000):**

Search Report has been prepared by the EPO or JPO.....\$840
 International preliminary examination fee paid to
 USPTO (37 CFR 1.482).....\$670
 No international preliminary examination fee paid to
 USPTO (37 CFR 1.482) but international search fee
 paid to USPTO (37 CFR 1.445(a)(2)).....\$690
 Neither international preliminary examination fee
 (37 CFR 1.482) nor international search fee (37 CFR 1.445(a)(2))
 paid to USPTO.....\$670
 International preliminary examination fee paid to
 USPTO (37 CFR 1.482) and all claims satisfied provisions
 of PCT Article 33(2)-(4).....\$96

ENTER APPROPRIATE BASIC FEE AMOUNT =**CALCULATIONS PTO USE ONLY****\$670**

Surcharge of **\$130.00** for furnishing the oath or declaration later than 20 30
 months from the earliest claimed priority date (37 CFR 1.492(e)).

\$--

| CLAIMS | NUMBER FILED | NUMBER EXTRA | RATE |
|---|--------------|--------------|-----------|
| Total claims | 17 -20 = | 0 | X \$18.00 |
| Independent claims | 2 -3 = | 0 | X \$78.00 |
| MULTIPLE DEPENDENT CLAIM(S) (if applicable) | | | + 260.00 |

\$0**\$0**

\$--

TOTAL OF ABOVE CALCULATIONS =**\$670**

Reduction of 1/2 for filing by small entity, if applicable. Verified Small Entity
 Statement must also be filed (Note 37 CFR 1.9, 1.27, 1.28)

\$--

SUBTOTAL =**\$670**

Processing fee of **\$130.00** for furnishing the English translation later than ☐ 20 ☐ 30
 months from the earliest claimed priority date (37 CFR 1.492(f)).

\$--

TOTAL NATIONAL FEE =**\$670**

Fee for recording the enclosed assignment (37 CFR 1.21(h)). The assignment
 must be accompanied by an appropriate cover sheet (37 CFR 3.28, 3.31).

\$--

\$40.00 per property

+

TOTAL FEES ENCLOSED =**\$670**Amount to be:
refunded

\$

charged

\$

a. ☒ A check (#035672) in the amount of **\$670** to cover the above fees is enclosed.

b. ☐ Please charge my Deposit Account No. _____ in the amount of \$ _____ to cover the above fees.
 A duplicate copy of this sheet is enclosed.

c. ☒ The Commissioner is hereby authorized to charge any additional fees which may be required, or credit
 any overpayment to Deposit Account No. **12-0080**. A duplicate copy of this sheet is enclosed.

NOTE: Where an appropriate time limit under 37 CFR 1.494 or 1.495 has not been met, a petition to revive (37 CFR 1.137(a) or (b)) must be filed and granted to restore the application to pending status.

SEND ALL CORRESPONDENCE TO:

Amy E. Mandragouras, Esq.
LAHIVE & COCKFIELD, LLP
28 State Street
Boston, Massachusetts 02109
United States of America
(617)227-7400
Date: 02 March 2000

SIGNATURE

NAME

REGISTRATION NUMBER

DeAnn F. Smith**36,683**

a/ppts.

09 / 4 8 6 9 7 0
428 Rec'd PCT/PTO 02 MAR 2000

- 1 -

THE USE OF AGENTS WHICH BIND G PROTEINS
FOR TREATING SEPTIC SHOCK

Background of the Invention

5 Septic shock (also known as sepsis) causes more than 150,000 deaths annually in the United States. Sepsis is defined as a clinical disorder whose symptoms may include well defined abnormalities in body temperature, heart rate, breathing rate, white blood cell count, hypotension, organ perfusion abnormalities, and multiple organ dysfunction. There are several causes of sepsis including bacterial (either gram negative or gram
10 positive), fungal and viral infections, as well as non-infective stimuli such as multiple trauma, severe burns, organ transplantation and pancreatitis.

 Septic patients usually die as a result of poor tissue perfusion and injury followed by multiple organ failure. It is well recognized that many of the responses that occur during septic shock are initiated by bacterial endotoxin, a glycolipid antigen present on
15 the surface of gram negative bacteria. This endotoxin (also referred to herein as lipopolysacchride or LPS) is released upon the death or multiplication of the bacteria and is known to activate monocytes/macrophages or endothelial cells causing them to produce various mediator molecules such as toxic oxygen radicals, hydrogen peroxide, tumor neurosis factor-alpha (TNF α), and interleukin (IL-1, IL-6, and IL-8). Theses
20 cellular and humoral inflammatory mediators evoke septic shock with symptoms ranging from chills and fever to circulatory failure, multiorgan failure, and death.

 The impact of sepsis is particularly devastating to patients with compromised cardiac and hepatic function and to immunocompromised patients. Patients at high risk are elderly, chemothearpy patients and those requiring surgery or invasive
25 instrumentation. The current therapy of antibiotics and hemodynamic support has not proven to be successful. An improved method for treating or preventing septic shock would be of great value.

 The major LPS receptor for monocytes/macrophages is the glycosylphosphatidylinositol (GPI) anchored glycoprotein CD14. It is the interaction of
30 LPS with the LPS receptor CD14 that initiates the cascade of signaling events that cause cytokine gene transcription. The precise mechanism through which LPS interacts with CD14 is unknown. Much of the controversy regarding the role of CD14 in LPS-induced signal transduction and cytokine production stems from the fact that CD14 is attached to the cell membrane by a glycosylphosphatidylinositol (GPI)-anchor and contains neither
35 transmembrane nor cytoplasmic amino acid sequences. As such, CD14 cannot interact with signal transduction molecules in the same way as transmembrane receptors. Recently, it has been demonstrated that GPI-anchored proteins expressed on many cell

00486970-052300

types can physically interact with lipid-linked signal transduction molecules, but the functional consequences of these interactions remain unresolved (Stefanova et al., *Science*, 254: 1016-1018, 1991; Shenoy-Scaria et al., *Mol Cell Biol.*, 13: 6385-6392, 1993; Solomon et al., *Proc. Nat. Acad. Sci.*, 93: 6053-6058, 1996).

5 Although the precise mechanism through which LPS binding to CD14 leads to cell activation is not known, it has been demonstrated that this interaction is enhanced by the serum factor LPS-binding protein (LBP) (Shumann et al., *Science*, 249: 1429-1432, 1990; Hailman et al., *J. Exp. Med.*, 179: 269-277, 1994). The interaction of LPS/LBP with CD14 causes the exchange of LPS with lipids in target membranes (Wurfel et al., *J.*
10 *Exp. Med.*, 181: 1743-1754, 1997; Yu et al., *J. Clin. Med.*, 99: 315-324, 1997; Wurfel et al., *J. Immunol.*, 158: 3925-3934, 1997). It has been suggested that this lipid transfer is responsible for LPS-induced signal transduction. The rate of the exchange reaction depends on the lipid composition of the target membranes, which has led to speculation that CD14 functions only to direct LPS insertion into particular membrane domains
15 (Hailman et al., *J. Exp. Med.*, 179: 269-277, 1994; Wurfel et al., *J. Immunol.*, 158: 3925-3934, 1997). While the mechanism that leads to LPS-induced signal transduction has not been demonstrated, it is known that monocyte activation by LPS leads to the phosphorylation of p38 mitogen activated protein kinase (MAPK), and production of inflammatory cytokines (i.e., TNF- α , IL-6) (Sweet, M.J. and Hume D.A., *J. Leuk. Biol.*,
20 60: 8-26, 1996).

Summary of the Invention

The present invention is based, at least in part, on the discovery that CD14 on monocytes/macrophages physically interacts with heterotrimeric G proteins and, in
25 particular, that such G proteins specifically regulate LPS-induced mitogen activated protein (MAP) kinase activation and cytokine production in human monocytes/macrophages. This invention is further based on the discovery that agents which bind G proteins, such as G protein binding peptides, inhibit G protein signal transduction to thereby treat or prevent septic shock *in vivo*.

30 Accordingly, this invention provides compositions and methods for treating or preventing septic shock in a subject at risk of developing septic shock. The method comprises administering an effective amount of an agent which binds G protein such that septic shock is treated or prevented in the subject. The agents which bind G protein are useful for both prophylactic and/or therapeutic treatments of septic shock.

35 The invention also pertains to compositions for treating or preventing septic shock in a subject which include an effective amount of an agent which binds G protein

and, optionally, an antibiotic. The composition can further include a pharmaceutically acceptable carrier.

The present invention also provides methods for using agents which bind G proteins in combination with other agents and/or treatment regimens (e.g., antibiotics, intravenous fluids, cardiovascular and respiratory support) to prophylactically and/or therapeutically treat a subject for septic shock. Other aspects of the invention include packaged agents which bind G proteins and instructions for using such agents for treatment of septic shock.

10 **Brief Description of the Drawings**

Figures 1A and 1B are gels depicting the association of CD14 with src kinases and heterotrimeric G proteins. Figure 1A shows that CD14 is associated with tyrosine phosphorylated proteins. Figure 1B shows that CD14 is associated with various src kinases and heterotrimeric G protein α subunits.

15 Figure 2 depicts the inhibition of IL-6 production from LPS-stimulated PMBCs by mastoparan. Figure 2 shows that mastoparan but not its inactive analogue (MAS-17) inhibits LPS-induced cytokine production.

Figures 3A and 3B depict the inhibition of cytokine production from LPS-stimulated monocytes by mastoparan. Figure 3A shows a dose-dependent inhibition of IL-6 and TNF- α production in human monocytes by mastoparan. Figure 3B shows inhibition of LPS-, but not PMA-, induced cytokine production from human monocytes by mastoparan. The experiments shown are representative of 6 different assays with similar results.

25 Figure 4 shows that mastoparan only inhibits CD14-dependent LPS-induced signal transduction in U373-CD14 transfected cells.

Figures 5A and 5B depict the inhibition of phosphorylation of p38 MAP kinase in human monocytes and PMBC by mastoparan. Figure 5B shows that mastoparan reduces LPS-induced p38 MAP kinase activity.

30 Figure 6 is a gel depicting the effect of LPS and mastoparan on MAP kinase activation in human monocytes. Figure 6 shows specific inhibition of LPS-induced Erk kinase activation by mastoparan.

Detailed Description of the Invention

35 The present invention pertains to a method for treating or preventing septic shock in a subject by administering to the subject an effective amount of an agent which binds to G protein, such that septic shock in the subject is treated or prevented. Septic shock is commonly associated with bacterial infection in a surgical setting or with

- 4 -

immunocompromised subjects, and is typically characterized by abnormalities in body temperature, heart rate, blood pressure and breathing which can progress to spontaneous clotting in blood vessels, multiple organ failure and death. The present invention also pertains to a composition for treating or preventing septic shock in a subject which

5 includes an effective amount of an agent which binds G protein to treat or prevent septic shock in the subject. The composition can further include an antibiotic and/or a pharmaceutically acceptable carrier.

The term "septic shock" or "sepsis" refers to a clinical disorder whose symptoms may include well defined abnormalities in body temperature, heart rate, breathing rate,

10 white blood cell count, hypertension, organ perfusion abnormalities, and multiple organ dysfunction. It may be caused by bacterial (either gram negative or gram positive), fungal, viral or other infection, as well as by non-infective stimuli such as multiple trauma, severe burns, organ transplantation and pancreatitis. Septic shock is commonly caused by "gram-negative" endotoxin-producing aerobic rods --*Escherichia coli*,

15 *Klebsiella pneumoniae*, *Proteus* species, *Pseudomonas aeruginosa* and *Salmonella*. Septic shock involved with gram negative bacteria is referred to as "endotoxic shock". A significant portion of the peripheral responses occurring during septic shock are initiated by endotoxin (also referred to herein as lipopolysaccharide or "LPS"), an outer-membrane component of gram-negative bacteria which is released upon the death or

20 multiplication of the bacteria. The manner in which LPS evokes its effects is by binding to cells such as monocytes/macrophages or endothelial cells and triggering them to produce various mediators, such as oxygen radicals, hydrogen peroxide, tumor necrosis factor-alpha (TNF- α), and various interleukins (IL-1, IL-6, and IL-8). Gram-positive bacteria, particularly pneumococcal or streptococcal, may produce a similar clinical

25 syndrome as endotoxic shock. Thus, as used herein, the term "endotoxic shock" refers to septic shock involved with gram negative and/or gram positive bacteria.

Whatever the offending agent, the septic shock affects the peripheral circulation of a subject. The affects of septic shock include direct toxic injury to the subject which may induce arteriolar vasodilation and pooling of blood and elevated capillary pressure

30 leading to the escape of plasma water into the interstitial compartment, further impinging on the circulating blood volume of the subject. In addition, septic shock leads to an inflammatory-immune reaction which results in the release of vasodilators in the subject, such as histamine and complement fractions, further reducing the effective circulating volume. Platelet activation and the formation of thromboxane A₂ may add

35 an element of platelet aggregation, with activation of the clotting sequence and the induction of disseminated intravascular coagulation in the subject. The overall effect of septic shock in the subject is a decrease in blood volume.

002250 0268460

- 5 -

The language "treat for septic shock" is intended to cover therapeutic and/or prophylactic treatments. The agents which bind G proteins can be used to protect a subject from damage on injury caused by septic shock or can therapeutically treat a subject prior to the onset of septic shock. For therapeutic treatment, agents which bind

5 G protein can treat or reduce the damage or injury caused by septic shock in a subject having one or more symptoms of septic shock. Symptoms of septic shock in a subject include tremors, fever, falling blood pressure, rapid breathing, rapid heart beat, and skin lesions. Within hours or days, these symptoms can progress to spontaneous clotting in blood vessels, severe hypotension and multiple organ failure. The term "treat" as used

10 herein refers reducing or preventing at least one symptom associated with sepsis. The treatment of a subject need not be complete restoration to the subjects previous state, but rather can be an amount sufficient to prevent mortality of the subject.

For prophylactic treatments, agents which bind G proteins are administered prior to the onset of symptoms of septic shock to prevent or inhibit septic shock in subjects at

15 risk of developing or susceptible to septic shock. Subjects at risk of developing septic shock include the elderly, immunocompromised patients (e.g., chemotherapeutic and HIV patients), patients requiring surgery or invasive instrumentation, and patients with compromised cardiac and hepatic function. Administering to a subject an agent which binds G protein in these types of settings can be used to decrease the susceptibility of

20 these patients to septic shock.

The term "subject" is intended to include mammals having septic shock, including one or more of the symptoms related to sepsis. Examples of such subjects include humans, dogs, cats, pigs, cows, horses, rats, and mice.

The term "administering" is intended to include routes of administration which

25 allow the agent to perform its intended function of treating or preventing septic shock by binding to G protein. Examples of routes of administration which can be used include parental injection (e.g., subcutaneous, intravenous, and intramuscular), intraperitoneal injection, oral, inhalation, and transdermal. The injection can be bolus injections or can be continuous infusion. Depending on the route of administration, the agent can be

30 coated with or disposed in a selected material to protect it from natural conditions which may detrimentally effect its ability to perform its intended function. When the agent is a peptide, such as mastoparan or analog thereof, the peptide can be modified at one or more of its termini to protect the peptide from degradation. Methods of protecting peptides from degradation are disclosed in U.S. Patent No. 5,589,568 which is

35 incorporated herein by reference. The agent can be administered with other agents and/or with a pharmaceutically acceptable carrier. Further, the agent can be administered as a mixture of agents which bind G proteins, which also can be coadministered with a

- 6 -

pharmaceutically acceptable carrier. The agent can be administered prior to the onset of septic shock or after the onset of septic shock.

The language "pharmaceutically acceptable carrier" is intended to include substances capable of being coadministered with the G protein binding agent, and which
5 allows the agent to perform its intended function of treating septic shock or preventing septic shock. An example of such a carrier is saline. Any other conventional carrier suitable for use with the G protein binding agent also fall within the scope of the present invention.

The language "effective amount" of an agent which binds G protein is that
10 amount necessary or sufficient to treat or prevent septic shock, e.g. prevent at least one of the various symptoms of septic shock, or that amount necessary to reduce the pathogenesis of septic shock. The effective amount can vary depending on such factors as the size and weight of the subject, the type of illness, the severity of the symptoms, or the particular agent used. One of ordinary skill in the art would be able to study the
15 aforementioned factors and make the determination regarding the effective amount of G protein binding agent without undue experimentation.

The regimen of administration can affect what constitutes an effective amount. G protein binding agents can be administered to the subject either prior to or after the onset of septic shock. Further, several divided dosages, as well as staggered dosages,
20 can be administered daily or sequentially, or the dose can be continuously infused or can be a bolus injection. Further, the dosages of the G protein binding agent(s) can be proportionally increased or decrease as indicated by the exigencies of the therapeutic or prophylactic situation.

G proteins (guanine nucleotide binding regulatory proteins) are important to
25 regulatory mechanisms operating in all human cells. Impairment of their function can perturb the cell's response to hormonal signals and adversely affect many intracellular metabolic pathways, thus contributing to the development and maintenance of a wide variety of disease states. When functioning normally, G proteins act as an integral part of the signal transducing mechanism by which extracellular hormones and
30 neurotransmitters convey their signals through the plasma membrane of the cell and thus elicit appropriate intracellular responses. In its simplest terms, the signal transducing mechanism of G protein can be said to comprise three distinct components. A receptor protein with an extracellular binding site specific for a given agonist; a membrane-bound effector protein that when activated catalyzes the formation of facilitates the transport of
35 an intracellular second messenger, an example is adenylate cyclase which produces cyclic AMP (cAMP); and a third protein which functions as a communicator between

these two. G proteins fulfill this vital role as communicator in the generation of intracellular responses to extracellular hormones and agonists.

G proteins are composed of three polypeptide subunits, namely G alpha (α), G beta (β), and G gamma (γ). The conformation of each subunit and their degree of association changes during the signal transducing mechanism. These changes are associated with the hydrolysis of the nucleotide GTP to form GDP and P sub i (GTPase activity). The binding sites for GTP, GDP and the GTPase catalytic site reside in the α subunit.

The G protein cycle which occurs each time a signal is conveyed across the membrane can be summarized as follows: 1) in an unstimulated cell the G proteins are found in the resting state in which α , β and γ are complexed together and GDP is bound to G α , 2) the binding of an appropriate hormone or agonist to the receptor changes its conformation and causes it to activate the G protein by displacing GDP and allowing GTP to bind (this is the rate-limiting step of the G protein cycle), 4) when GTP is bound to G α it may dissociate from the β and γ complex and is able to bind to, and activate, adenylate cyclase which releases cAMP into the cytoplasm, 5) GTP is then hydrolysed to GDP and the cycle is complete.

A further attribute inherent in this system is that it allows several different receptors to interact with a signal-generating enzyme. Some act in such a way to activate the enzyme and some to inhibit it. This involves distinct alpha subunits G sub s alpha (stimulatory) and G sub i alpha (inhibitory) that combine with the same beta gamma complex to form stimulatory or inhibitory G proteins. An example of a receptor that interacts with G sub i to lower the concentration of cAMP is the alpha sub 2-adrenergic receptor. The integration of the signals from G sub s and G sub i is one of the ways in which the level of cAMP in the cell can be modulated in response to several different extracellular agonists. The present invention is based on, at least in part, the demonstration of both a physical and functional coupling of CD14 to G proteins and the involvement of G proteins in the regulation of LPS-induced signal transduction.

The term "agent which binds to G protein" or "G protein binding agent" refers to an agent which binds to G protein and inhibits G protein signal transduction, such as a small molecule, compound, drug, polypeptide, or peptide. Preferred G protein binding agents bind a G α subunit. Particularly preferred agents are cell permeable agents. A particularly preferred G protein binding agent for treating bacterial sepsis is a peptide. Examples of such peptides include mastoparan and analogs thereof. Mastoparan is a permeable, amphiphilic peptide that binds Gi and Go heterotrimeric G proteins (Higashijima et al., *J. Biol. Chem.*, 263: 6491-6494, 1988; Higashijima et al., *J. Biol. Chem.*, 265: 14176-14186, 1990; Higashijima et al., *J. Biol. Chem.*, 265: 14176-14186,

00220-0269460

1991). Mastoparan is the prototype of a family of peptide toxins, collectively known as mastoparans, that form amphiphilic alpha helices. Mastoparan has been shown to stimulate guanine nucleotide exchange by G proteins in a manner similar to that of G protein-coupled receptors. The mastoparans of the present invention can be naturally occurring mastoparans, or analogs thereof, which are known in the art and are described in U.S. Patent 5,589,568, incorporated herein by reference. Mastoparan can be synthesized and purified as described by Saito (*Chem. Pharm. Bull.*, 32: 2187-2193, 1984) incorporated herein by reference, or alternately can be purchased from Sigma (St. Louis, MO). The peptides of the present invention can be prepared by standard peptide synthesis technology (e.g., Merrifield, *J. Am. Chem. Soc.*, 88: 2149-2154, 1963; Houghton et al., *Int. J. Pept. Protein Res.*, 16: 311-320, 1980; Eler, *J. Biochem.*, 145: 157-162, 1984; *PNAS USA*, 82: 5131-5135, 1988), for example, by using a solid phase peptide synthesizer and purified by reverse phase HPLC. Such methods of peptide synthesis and purification are known in the art.

Agents which bind G proteins and inhibit G protein signal transduction can be identified by use of one or more assays known to those skilled in the art (for a review see Kaziro, Y. et al. (1991) *Ann. Rev. Biochem.*, 60: 349-400; and Neer, E. J., (1995) *Cell* 80: 249-257) or described herein. For example, G protein binding agents which inhibit G protein signal transduction can be identified by their ability to inhibit the production of cytokines (such as IL-6 and TNF- α) when cells (such as monocytes or macrophages) are contacted with the agent and a G protein stimulator, such as LPS. Alternatively, an agent which binds G protein can be identified by its ability to inhibit MAP kinase activation, under conditions as described herein. The *in vivo* efficacy of an agent which binds G protein and inhibits G protein signal transduction can be determined by the ability of such an agent to protect rats from LPS-induced lethal endotoxic shock as described herein.

The present invention also pertains to compositions and methods for treating a subject having septic shock or susceptible to septic shock. The composition contains an effective amount of an agent which binds G protein and a pharmaceutically acceptable treatment solution.

The present invention further pertains to the use of agents which bind G proteins together with an antibiotic for prophylactic and/or therapeutic treatments of septic shock. The invention also pertains to compositions for treating a subject for septic shock which include an effective amount of the antibiotic and an agent which binds G protein in a pharmaceutically acceptable carrier.

The present invention further pertains to the use of G protein binding agents in conjunction with other agents or regimens for therapy or prophylactic treatment of septic

shock. Some specific examples of other agents or regimens which can be administered to the subject to treat septic shock include antibiotics, intravenous fluids and cardiovascular and respiratory support.

The invention is further illustrated by the following examples which in no way should be construed as being further limiting. The contents of all references, pending patent applications, published patent applications, and issued patents cited throughout this application are hereby incorporated by reference. It should be understood that the animal models used throughout the examples are accepted animal models and that the demonstration of efficacy in these animal models is predictive of efficacy in humans.

EXAMPLES

The following materials and methods were used throughout the examples.

15 Isolation of human PBMC and monocytes.

Freshly isolated human peripheral blood mononuclear cells (PBMC) and monocytes were obtained from leukopaks (discarded leukocyte from platelet donations). The cells were fractionated on FICOLL-HYPAQUE™ gradients, washed, treated with tris-buffered NH₄Cl to eliminate RBCs and washed to obtain PMBCs. Monocytes were obtained by depleting the PBMCs of T cells and NK cells by negative selection asking standard techniques. T cells and NK cells were removed by treatment with anti-CD3 and anti-CD2 monoclonal antibodies followed by goat anti-mouse Ig conjugated magnetic beads at a 10:1 bead:cell ratio. The monocyte preparations were at least 80-85% monocytes, as determined by anti-CD14 staining and forward and slide light scatter analysis using a FACScan (Becton-Dickenson, Elmhurst, IL). Less than 2% of the contaminating cells in the monocyte preparation were T cells and no NK cells could be detected. Monocytes were maintained in Ham's F-12 10% FCS, L-Glutamine and penicillin/streptomycin at 37°C in 5% CO₂.

30 Cell Lines

U373 cell CD14 transfectants (U373-CD14) were maintained in EMEM supplemented with 10% FCS, L-Glutamine and penicillin/streptomycin at 37°C in 5% CO₂.

- 10 -

Immunoprecipitation, *in vitro* kinase assay, and reimmunoprecipitation.

Assays were performed as described in Solomon et al., *Proc. Nat. Acad. Sci.*, 93:6053-6058 (1996). Briefly, cells were washed 3 times in cold buffered saline and were lysed on ice for 30 minutes in lysis buffer [0.5% NP40; 300 mM NaCl; 50 mM Tris pH 7.6; 0.15 u/ml aprotinin; 10 mM Iodoacetimide; 5 mM EDTA; 1 mM Na₃VO₄ 10 µg/ml leupeptin; 1 mM PMSF]. Insoluble debris was removed by microcentrifugation and the lysates were precleared with 100 µl (10% w/v) rabbit anti-mouse coated protein A sephrose beads (1 mg/ml) followed by 200 µl (10% w/v) protein A sephrose beads. The lysates were then incubated for two hours at 4°C with monoclonal antibodies previously bound to protein A sephrose beads. After 2 hours, the beads were washed 4 times in lysis buffer and once in Kinase buffer [25 mM hepes, 1 mM MnCl₂ and 100 µM Na₃VO₄] and the immunoprecipitates were then resuspended in 50 µl kinase buffer with 20µCi[γ³²P]ATP (New England Nuclear, Boston, MA) and incubated for 15 minutes at room temperature. The samples were washed 4 times in lysis buffer with 15 mM EDTA. Samples were then eluted in 0.5% SDS at 70°C for 3 minutes or boiled in 1% SDS for 5 minutes and diluted 10 fold with cold lysis buffer. Samples were analyzed by SDS-PAGE or reimmunoprecipitated with various monoclonal or polyclonal antisera (e.g., rabbit) prior to SDS-PAGE analysis. Reimmunoprecipitated samples were boiled in reducing Laemmli sample buffer, and subjected to electrophoresis through a 10% SDS-PAGE gel.

Cytokine production

Freshly isolated human monocytes, human PBMC and U373-CD14 cells were incubated with 10 ng/ml LPS (*E coli* 0111:B4, Sigma, St. Louis, MO), 100 ng/ml PMA or were untreated in supplemented RPMI [10% FCS; 2 mM L-glutamine and penicillin/streptomycin] with or without various concentrations of mastoparan for 18 hours at 37°C in 24 well tissue culture dishes. IL-6 and TNF-α levels were determined by ELISA (Endogen Inc., Boston, MA) of supernatants harvested at 4 hours (for TNF-α) and at 18 hours (for IL-6) after LPS stimulation.

Immunoblotting

For detection of p38, phosphorylated p38 and phosphorylated Erk kinases in monocyte lysates, monocytes were subjected to various treatments and lysed in boiling reducing Laemmli sample buffer. The lysates were subjected to electrophoresis through a 10% SDS PAGE gel and were then transferred to nitrocellulose (NC). After washing twice with TBS-Tween 20(0.1%) the NC was placed in a solution of Ponceau S dye to ensure equal loading and left in blocking buffer [1x TBS; 0.1% TBS; 5% milk] for 1

hour. After blocking and washing, the NC was incubated with anti-p38 N + C terminus (Santa Cruz Biotechnology Inc., Santa Cruz, CA) for 2-3 hours or with anti-phosphorylated p38 and Erk antibodies (New England Biolabs, Inc., Beverly, MA) for 18 hours. Membranes were washed three times in TBS-Tween 20 and were incubated for 30 minutes with horseradish peroxidase conjugated donkey- α -rabbit antibody in blocking buffer (Amersham Corp., Arlington Heights, IL). Membranes were washed an additional six times [3 x TBS-Tween-20; 3 x TBS], and were developed by exposure to ECL chemicals (Amersham corp., Arlington Heights, IL) and visualized by exposure to film.

For detection of p38 in nuclear extracts, cells after a variety of treatments were subject to lysis and nuclear extraction as described in Lerder et al., *J. Immunol.* 152:77-86 (1994). The nuclear extracts were subjected to protein quantitation by Micro BCA assay (Pierce, Rockford, IL) using a BSA standard. The details of the blotting procedure were the same as above except that 5-10 ng of extract was used.

Lethal endotoxin shock

Wistar rats (200 g) were obtained from Charles River Laboratories. Rats were treated with 3mg/kg mastoparan by intravenous injection in the tail vein, immediately followed by 15 mg/kg lead acetate and 5 μ g/kg LPS 0111:B4 intravenously. Mortality was assessed up to 96 hours following LPS treatment. Mortality frequency was compared by Fisher exact test and statistical analysis was performed using Yates corrected Chi square test..

Example 1. Association of CD14 with G Proteins Following LPS Stimulation

To elucidate the mechanism of LPS-induced signal transduction mediated through CD14, CD14 was immunoprecipitated from freshly isolated human monocytes and *in vitro* kinase assays performed to asses the association of CD14 with phosphorylated proteins.

Results from these assays revealed the presence of multiple tyrosine phosphorylated species which coimmunoprecipitated with CD14 (See Figure 1A). Reimmunoprecipitation of the product of these assays with an anti-phosphotyrosine specific antibody indicated that all the major phosphorylated species were tyrosine phosphorylated. Immunoprecipitation of the products of the *in vitro* kinase assay with heterosera and various monoclonal antibodies recognizing src family tyrosine kinases indicated that in human monocytes fyn, lyn and fgr src family kinases were all present in substantial quantities and a small amount of hck was observed on overexposed

- 12 -

autoradiographs (Figure 1B). There was no evidence for lck in the immunoprecipitates from human monocytes.

In vitro kinase assays of immunoprecipitated CD14 also revealed the presence of a 40 kD tyrosine phosphorylated species (Figures 1A and 1B). This protein could be immunoprecipitated from the products of CD14 *in vitro* kinase assays with a pan-anti-G protein antiserum which recognizes the GTP binding site of a variety of G proteins, as described in Solomon et al., *Proc. Nat. Acad. Sci.*, 93: 6065-6058 (1996), indicating that this species was a G protein. Immunoprecipitation of the products of the CD14 *in vitro* kinase assays with antisera specific for α subunits of the heterotrimeric G proteins indicated that this 40 kD protein consisted of a combination of heterotrimeric G protein α subunits of a small amount of Gi α 1 and larger amounts of Gi α 2, Gi α 3 and Go α . In contrast, Gs α was not found in association with CD14 from the CD14 *in vitro* kinase assay. Similar patterns of heterotrimeric G proteins and src family kinases were found to coimmunoprecipitate with CD14 from CHO- and U373-CD14 transfectants and are similar to the patterns of phosphoproteins associated with other GPI-anchored proteins.

In addition, there was no evidence for the β or γ subunits of heterotrimeric G proteins in these immunoprecipitates. This may be due to the documented dissociation of these subunits from the α subunit during detergent lysis (Chang et al., *J. Cell Biol.*, 126: 127-138, 1994) or simply be an indication that these subunits are not phosphorylated in the *in vitro* kinase reactions.

Thus, the fact that CD14 immunoprecipitates contained Gi and Go heterodimer G proteins suggests that G proteins may be involved in LPS-induced signaling.

25 **Example 2. *In Vitro* Effect of Mastoparan on G Protein Signal Transduction**

To investigate the functional consequences of the G protein/CD14 association, human cells (i.e., monocytes and PBMCs) and U373 cell transfectants expressing CD14 were treated with mastoparan (a cell permeable, amphiphilic peptide that binds Gi and Go heterotrimeric G proteins) and LPS to determine the effect on LPS-induced cytokine production.

Initially, the effect of mastoparan (QCB, Hopkinton, MA) and its inactive analogue, MAS-17 (QBC) on cytokine production from human PBMCs were tested. Freshly isolated PBMCs were treated with LPS and/or peptides (mastoparan or the MAS-17 control peptide) and IL-6 levels were measured in the tissue culture supernatants of the cells (Figure 2). PBMCs produced IL-6 in response to LPS, while neither mastoparan nor MAS-17 stimulated IL-6 production from the PBMCs. Mastoparan was a potent inhibitor of LPS-induced IL-6 production from the LPS

- 13 -

stimulated PBMCs, while MAS-17 had no effect on cytokine production. The effect of mastoparan on cytokine production was dose-dependent, and at a concentration of 13.3 μ M mastoparan totally ablated LPS-induced IL-6 production from the PBMCs.

In order to determine if the effect of mastoparan on LPS-induced cytokine production was due to a direct effect on monocytes, a highly enriched monocyte population was tested for the effect of LPS and mastoparan on cytokine production from these cells. Freshly isolated human monocytes were treated with mastoparan and LPS, after which IL-6 and TFN cytokine levels were measured in the tissue culture supernatants of the cells (Figure 3A). Untreated monocytes did not produce detectable levels of cytokines, verifying that the isolation procedure had not activated these cells. LPS caused a dose-dependent stimulation of cytokines from isolated monocytes, whereas mastoparan induced neither IL-6 nor TNF production from these cells. When mastoparan was used in conjunction with LPS, cytokine production was diminished. Concentrations of mastoparan as low as 1.67 μ M caused dramatic reductions in both IL-6 and TNF production in monocytes stimulated with LPS. Mastoparan at 13.34 μ M concentrations totally ablated LPS-induced cytokine production from these cells. Mastoparan had little effect on cytokine production from PMA stimulated cells (Figure 3B), indicating the specificity of mastoparan action, and lack of mastoparan toxicity. Mastoparan had no effect on cell viability as measured by trypan blue uptake even after 36 hours of continuous mastoparan incubation.

In addition, the effect of mastoparan on LPS-induced cytokine production from an LPS responsive, CD14 transfected cell line was determined. LPS treatment of U373-CD14 transfectants induced an LPS dose-dependent production of IL-6 (Figure 4). At low concentrations of LPS (10 ng/ml-100 ng/ml) LPS-induced IL-6 responses were completely inhibited by treatment of the U373-CD14 cells with an anti-CD14 monoclonal antibody. IL-6 production induced by 10 ng/ml LPS was also ablated by treatment of the cells with mastoparan, while at 100 ng/ml of LPS, mastoparan reduced IL-6 levels by approximately one third. At high concentrations of LPS (1 μ g/ml) the IL-6 responses of these cells were not inhibited by treatment with the anti-CD14 monoclonal antibody. Thus, at high concentrations of LPS, U373 cells exhibit CD14-independent LPS induced cytokine responses. At LPS concentrations of 1 μ g/ml, mastoparan was ineffective at reducing cytokine responses from these cells. Thus, CD14-independent LPS signals were not inhibited by mastoparan.

Thus, pharmacologic targeting of the same heterotrimeric G proteins which are associated with CD14 had a substantial and specific impact on LPS induction of cytokine production.

LPS stimulation of cells through CD14 leads to the induction of a MAP kinase signaling pathway involving the p38 MAP kinase, which has been shown to be specifically induced by LPS (Figures 5A and 5B). Because mastoparan had profound effects on cytokine production and p38 MAP kinase is involved in LPS induced-
5 signaling, the effect of mastoparan on MAP kinase activation was evaluated. For full activation, p38 MAP kinase requires phosphorylation on both threonine and tyrosine residues (Raingeaud et al., *J. Biol. Chem.*, 270: 7420-7426, 1995). Detection of dual-phosphorylated p38 MAP kinase by monoclonal antibodies specific for the dual-phosphorylated form of p38 was used as a measure of p38 activation. Consistent with
10 the effect of mastoparan on LPS-induced cytokine production, mastoparan reduced the LPS-induced phosphorylation of p38 MAP kinase in both monocytes and PBMC (Figure 5A). It also inhibited LPS-induced nuclear translocation of p38.

Since it had been previously demonstrated that LPS induces phosphorylation of Erk 1 and 2 MAP kinases in transformed macrophage cell lines (Weinstein et al., *J.*
15 *Immunol.*, 151: 3829-3838, 1993; Weinstein et al., *J. Biol. Chem.*, 267: 14955-14962, 1992), LPS-induced activation of ERK 1 and 2 and the effect of mastoparan on the activation of these kinases in normal human monocytes was determined (Figure 6). Only minimal phosphorylation of Erk 1 or 2 as seen in LPS-stimulated monocytes. The lack of substantial LPS-induced Erk kinase phosphorylation in freshly isolated
20 monocytes is consistent with results obtained with other non-transformed human cells (Nick et al., *J. Immunol.*, 156: 4867-4875, 1996). In contrast to LPS, PMA induced substantial phosphorylation of Erk kinases. Interestingly, while mastoparan completely inhibited the Erk kinase activation induced by LPS, it had minimal effects on Erk kinase activation induced by PMA. This is consistent with the cytokine data, in so far as the
25 effect of mastoparan was specific for the LPS signal transduction pathway and did not globally alter the ability to activate MAP kinases.

Example 3. In Vivo Effect of Mastoparan on G Protein Signal Transduction

The ability of mastoparan to inhibit cytokine production from human monocytes
30 suggested that mastoparan may have efficacy in reducing LPS-induced pathology *in vivo*. To determine the effect of mastoparan *in vivo*, the effect of mastoparan on LPS-induced lethal endotoxic shock in rats was assessed.

Mastoparan did not have any toxic effects when used alone in the model system (data not shown). Mastoparan did significantly protect rats from LPS induced mortality
35 (Table 1). These results demonstrate the importance of G protein-mediated events in endotoxic shock and that targeting heterotrimeric G proteins with pharmacological agents which bind G proteins has therapeutic potential.

- 15 -

Table I

| <u>Group</u> | <i>14 hours</i> | <u>Mortality</u> <i>24 hours</i> | <u>Total (%)</u> |
|-----------------------------|-----------------|-------------------------------------|------------------|
| <i>LPS</i> | 14/17 | 14/17 | 82.4% |
| <i>LPS + Mastoparan</i> | 7/18 | 8/18 | 44.4% |

5 Data were subjected to Yates corrected Chi square statistical analysis. The ability of mastoparan to protect rats from LPS-induced mortality was statistically significant $p < 0.05$ at both 14 and 24 hours. The experiment was continued for 96 hours and the mortality remain unchanged from the 24 hour point.

Equivalents

10 Those skilled in the art will recognize, or be able to ascertain using no more than routine experimentation, many equivalents to the specific embodiments and methods described herein. Such equivalents are intended to be encompassed by the scope of the following claims.

15

- 16 -

What is claimed is

1. A method for treating or preventing septic shock in a subject comprising, administering to the subject an effective amount of an agent which binds G protein, such
5 that septic shock in the subject is treated or prevented.

2. The method of claim 1, wherein the agent binds G α subunit.

3. The method of claim 1, wherein the agent is a cell permeable agent.

10

4. The method of claim 3, wherein the agent is a peptide.

5. The method of claim 4, wherein the peptide is mastoparan or an analog thereof.

15

6. The method of claim 1, wherein the septic shock is endotoxic shock.

7. The method of claim 6, wherein the endotoxic shock is induced by gram negative bacteria.

20

8. The method of claim 1, wherein the endotoxic shock is induced by gram positive bacteria.

9. The method of claim 1, wherein the septic shock is LPS-induced shock.

25

10. The method of claim 1, further comprising administering an antibiotic to the subject.

11. A composition for treating or preventing septic shock in a subject
30 comprising an effective amount of an agent which binds G protein to treat or prevent septic shock.

12. The composition of claim 11, wherein the agent binds G α subunit.

35 13. The composition of claim 11, further comprising a pharmaceutically acceptable carrier.

002250 04698460

14. The composition of claim 11, wherein the agent is a cell permeable agent.
15. The composition of claim 14, wherein the cell permeable agent is a peptide.
16. The composition of claim 15, wherein the peptide is mastoparan or an analog thereof.
17. The composition of claim 11, further comprising an antibiotic.

09/486970

1/9




FIGURE 1A

09486970.052300

09/486970

2/9




FIGURE 1B

09486970.052300

3/9




FIGURE 2

4/9




FIGURE 3A

09/486970

5/9




FIGURE 3B




FIGURE 4

09486970.052300

09/486970

09/486970

7/9




FIGURE 5A

09/486970

8/9

| | | | | | |
|------------------------|---|----|----|----|----|
| Mastoparan | - | - | + | - | + |
| LPS | - | - | - | + | + |
| Time (minutes) | 0 | 30 | 30 | 30 | 30 |
| Phospho-ATF-2 — | | | | | |

FIGURE 5B

09/486970

9/9




FIGURE 6

09/486970.052300

(Check one):

- As a below named inventor, I hereby declare that:

I believe I am the original, first and sole inventor (if only one name is listed below) or an original, first and joint inventor (if plural names are listed below) of the subject matter which is claimed and for which a patent is sought on the invention entitled:

the specification of which (check one):

- I hereby state that I have reviewed and understood the contents of the above-identified specification, including the claims, as amended by any amendment referred to above.

☐ Additional U.S. or PCT international application numbers are listed on a supplemental priority sheet attached hereto.

POWER OF ATTORNEY:

As a named inventor, I hereby appoint the following attorneys and/or agents to prosecute this application and transact all business in the Patent and Trademark Office connected therewith.

| | | | |
|------------------------|-----------------|------------------------|-----------------|
| W. Hugo Liepmann | Reg. No. 20,407 | Catherine J. Kara | Reg. No. 41,106 |
| James E. Cockfield | Reg. No. 19,162 | Faustino A. Lichauco | Reg. No. 41,942 |
| Thomas V. Smurzynski | Reg. No. 24,798 | Jeanne M. DiGiorgio | Reg. No. 41,710 |
| Ralph A. Loren | Reg. No. 29,325 | Megan E. Williams | Reg. No. 43,270 |
| Giulio A. DeConti, Jr. | Reg. No. 31,503 | Nicholas P. Triano III | Reg. No. 36,397 |
| Ann Lamport Hammitte | Reg. No. 34,858 | Peter C. Lauro | Reg. No. 32,360 |
| Elizabeth A. Hanley | Reg. No. 33,505 | Timothy J. Douros | Reg. No. 41,716 |
| Amy E. Mandragouras | Reg. No. 36,207 | John L. Welch | Reg. No. 28,129 |
| Anthony A. Laurentano | Reg. No. 38,220 | DeAnn F. Smith | Reg. No. 36,683 |
| Jane E. Remillard | Reg. No. 38,872 | William D. DeVaul | Reg. No. 42,483 |
| Jeremiah Lynch | Reg. No. 17,425 | David J. Ridders | Reg. No. 43,882 |
| Kevin J. Canning | Reg. No. 35,470 | Chi Suk Kim | Reg. No. 42,728 |
| David A. Lane, Jr. | Reg. No. 39,261 | | |

Send Correspondence to:

Amy E. Mandragouras, Lahive & Cockfield, LLP, 28 State Street, Boston, Massachusetts 02109, United States of America


Direct Telephone Calls to: (name and telephone number)

Amy E. Mandragouras, (617) 227-7400


Wherefore I petition that letters patent be granted to me for the invention or discovery described and claimed in the attached specification and claims, and hereby subscribe my name to said specification and claims and to the foregoing declaration, power of attorney, and this petition.

I hereby declare that all statements made herein of my own knowledge are true and that all statements made on information and belief are believed to be true; and further that these statements were made with the knowledge that willful false statements and the like so made are punishable by fine or imprisonment, or both, under Section 1001 of Title 18 of the United States Code and that such willful false statements may jeopardize the validity of the application or any patent issued thereon.

1-00

| | |
|---|-----------------|
| Full name of sole or first inventor Robert W. FINBERG | |
| Inventor's signature  | Date 5/18/00 |
| Residence 48 Spring Road, Canton, Massachusetts 02021, United States of America | |
| Citizenship United States of America MA | |
| Post Office Address (if different) | |

United States Patent & Trademark Office
Office of Initial Patent Examination -- Scanning Division



Application deficiencies were found during scanning:

☐ Page(s) _____ of _____ were not present
for scanning. (Document title)

☐ Page(s) _____ of _____ were not present
for scanning. (Document title)

☒ There are 9 sheets of drawings

☐ Scanned copy is best available.